

DECEMBER 2020. ISSUE 01
04-DEC-2020



WALLCLIFFS LAW FIRM

An ISO 9001 : 2008 Certified Firm
We Never Stop Protecting You



KNOWLEDGE CORNER



+91 75400 75411



mail@wallcliffs.com



www.wallcliffslawfirm.com

LATEST NEWS

Coimbatore Office

#49, West Club Road, Near
Vilvam Apartments, Race Course,
Coimbatore - 641 018.

High Court Office

#103, I - Floor,
Armenian Street,
Parrys, Chennai - 600 001.

Tiruppur Office

544, Room No.2, I-Floor,
Opp. to TKT Motors, Palladam
Main Road, Tiruppur - 641604

Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the doctrine of substantive legitimate expectation is one of the way in which the guarantee of non-arbitrariness enshrined under 14 finds concrete expression. **Jharkhand V. Brahmputra Metalics Ltd., Ranchi, 2020 SCC Online SC 968.**

.....

Hon'ble Supreme Court says that the proceedings under Sec.34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation act are not maintainable to challenge a foreign award. **Noy Vallesina Engineering Spa V. Jindal Drugs Limited & Ors., Civil Appeal No. 8607of 2010.**

.....

Hon'ble Supreme Court held that on prima facie evaluation of FIR lodged against a Arnab Goswami cannot be said that he was guilty of having abetted the suicide within the meaning of Section 306 of the IPC. The Apex court says that the High Court failed to evaluate prima facie whether the allegations in the FIR, taken as they stand bring the case within the fold of Section 306 r/w Section 34 of the IPC. **Arnab Manoranjan Goswami V. State of Maharashtra, Criminal Appeal No. 742 of 2020.**

.....

Allahabad High Court reiterated that private financial institutions, carrying business or commercial activity may be performing public duties, but cannot be considered to be covered under the definition of 'State' under Art 12 of the Constitution of India. **Kailashi Devi V. Brand Manager & Anr., Writ - C No. 1899 of 2020.**

.....



Bombay High Court held that allegations levelled against a police officer that he is involved in criminal activities and publishing incite the force to act against the government within the meaning of Sec.3 of the Police (Incitement to Disaffection Act, 1922). **Ravindra V. The State of Maharashtra & Others, Criminal Appeal No.356 of 2020.**

.....

Kerala High Court says that any investigation or arrest under the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 has to be conducted only by a special police officer authorized in that behalf. It has been held that there is no provision in the Act that empowers such special officer to authorize the investigation to the case to be conducted by any other police officer. **Ali Ahammed V. State of Kerala, 2020 SCC Online Ker 5798.**

.....

Chattisgarh High Court says that the Sec.14 of the Limitation Act, 1963 has no application in Criminal proceedings. The court held that petitioners have failed to demonstrate any sufficient reason for delay of two years in questioning the order of discharge and thus the revisional court is absolutely justified in dismissing the revision petition. **Radhe Shyam Khemka (dead) v. Raju Yadav alias Ram Kumar, Criminal Misc. Petition No. 744 of 2014.**

.....

Delhi High Court passed an order quashing criminal proceedings against the former CEO of a company in a cheque dishonour case, holding that as no specific allegations or averments against the former CEO, regarding her alleged role either in the transaction or in the conduct of business of the company were made out, the complaint against her was liable to be quashed in a cheque dishonour case. **Smt. Dharna Goyal @ Dharm Garg V. M/s Aryan Infratech Pvt. Ltd., Crl M.C 707/2020.**

.....



Punjab and Haryana High Court says that merely because the police officer is a Facebook friend of the complainant, it cannot be presumed that he shall favour him in an illegal manner. **Mohit Dharwan V. UT Chandigarh, Civil Writ Petition No. 16659 of 2020.**

.....

Himachal Pradesh High Court granted bail to a man who allegedly impersonated with a Hindu name, despite the fact he was a Muslim and subsequently established sexual relations with a women on promise to marry her and later on resiling from the same. **Abdul Rehman V. State of Himachal Pradesh, 2020 SCC Online HP 2766.**

.....

DISCLAIMER

This write up has been sent to you for information purposes only and is intended merely to highlight recent case laws. The information and/or observations contained in this issue do not constitute legal advice and should not be acted upon in any specific situation without appropriate legal advice. The views expressed in this issue do not necessarily constitute the final opinion of M/s.Wallcliffs Law Firm and should you have any queries in relation to any of the issues set out herein or on other areas of law, please feel free to contact us on mail@wallcliffs.com.

 **Coimbatore Office**

#49, West Club Road, Near
Vilvam Apartments, Race
Course, Coimbatore - 641 018.

 **High Court Office**

#103, I - Floor, Armenian Street,
Parrys, Chennai - 600 001.

 **Tiruppur Office**

544, Room No.2, I-Floor, Opp.
to TKT Motors, Palladam Main
Road, Tirupur – 641604.



+91 75400 75411



mail@wallcliffs.com



www.wallcliffslawfirm.com